MEMO FOR THE RECORD - 20 April 1971

1. Yesterday, I interviewed ████████████████ CI/TRCO, STATINTL
as to whether he has comment, either from himself or others in the
staff re the content of the CI Ops Course (as I was preparing a new
schedule). He said the only comment from others was that (as usual)
the schedule always looks like it has too much ████ in it. I then STATINTL
inquired as to whether he has any feeling, or whether others have a
feeling, as to whether or not more CE should be inserted, to which he
agreed with my formulation, ie, that CE work is simply research and
investigation, and that it has no special skill therein, therefore it
is not necessarily teachable. As I also explored other subjects, but
would get no further indication of a desire to change, I posed the
final question: "Does it make any difference what I teach?" To this
he replied: "Not really. The presence of CI courses is bureaucratic.
The Staff considers it part of their image, and they will not relent."

2. In a discussion with ████████████████████████ on STATINTL
STATINTL   19 April, ██████ gave me considerable information on strategic and STATINTL
tactical deception in CI. He has many cases at his disposal. It STATINTL
may be that he could give a presentation on the subject.

and ████████████████
3. In discussions with ████████████████████████, /
25X1A   ████████████ both alleged that they had CI projects in their respective
divisions. They responded uniformally, "YES.", but when queried, they
showed that they were divisions of CI Technique, but for generally
operational date for CA or FI purposes, In addition, when finally
checked out the only ones they could describe as CI projects were
SB projects, not area division projects. Now, while I know that the
argument over what is and what is not CI will rage forever, this seems
to tell me (again) that the Divisions are not engaging in operations
for counterintelligence. They are, however, deriving CI information and
services as an auxiliary outgrowths. I hesitate, but feel that it may
be valid to say from these exporations that the WH posture of "We don't
have any CI here" is equally valid in the other two divisions discussed,
and conceivably equally valid in the others.